

Date of Interview: 08 January 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic: b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☑ applicant's representative]

Ethibits shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Galasso, Booth, Holko, Hanzawa.

Agreement with respect to the claims fill was reached. a) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. <u>Discussed features of the claims as commend to the cited prior art.</u> <u>Discussed</u> <u>scope of materials that can be in individual lawer/mindures.</u> The <u>Examiner indicated that as worded, the SC lawers and SI lawers could have other materials onesant. Also discussed clarifying that the the heat treating stee will provide tho distinct lawers, one of SI and one OSI SC, specifically from the spars usplied mindure.</u>

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE WINTERVIEW, USE WIFEP Sector 1730, If it eight bits last office action has already been flied, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DAYS FROM THIS WINTERVIEW DAYS FROM THIS WINTERVIEW DAYS FROM THIS LATER, TO FILE ALS THE OATE OF THE MAIN GOATE OF THIS WINTERVIEW DAYS WINTERVIEW DAYS FROM THE SUBSTANCE OF THE WINTERVIEW. See Summary of HISCORD of Interview.

/Katherine A, Bareford/					
Primary	Exam	iner,	Art	Unit	179